Approved For Release 2000/08/26 : CIA-RDP74-00005R000100160002-5

- 1. Entire specification entirely too elaborate.
- 2. Reports and testing will add costs and should not be required if reliable contractor used.
- 3. Use ply decking between rails with main aisles of concrete.

 Should reduce cost and weight factors in the bays not that heavy.

 Would also reduce stress on building.

Anti tilt device not needed and is added cost.

- 5. Buzzer and red light are added cost to safety system and really mean nothing.
- 6. We propose to light one bay and activate motor for that bay with switch on stationary. This in place of one aisle at a time and should work on existing circuits in building.
- 7. Specify cubic capacity required as minimum.
- 8. Section B-B on Page 1 of drawings not practical with weight factors involved.
- 9. Equipment specified is Estey and would preclude our bidding if left as written. Wheels Rails.
- 10. Schedule looks to us to be very tight and damages clauses far too strict.
- 11. Negotiated bid rather than a formal bid so that agency will have some latitude in selection of features and service desired.
- 12. More of a performance specification rather than such a detailed material and equipment spec.